


Q1 Assess the workshop from the standpoint of what you gained from the experience:How well did the workshop meet the following stated objectives?


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Total	Weighted Average
a. Define a range of access methodologies.	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	28.57% 2	71.43% 5	7	4.71
b. Identify how access considerations impact transfer agreements.	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	85.71% 6	7	4.86
c. Assess tools for the identification and redaction of sensitive or protected information.	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	57.14% 4	42.86% 3	7	4.43

Q2 How would you rate the:


Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	Total	Weighted Average
New knowledge / skills acquired	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	28.57% 2	57.14% 4	7	4.43
Likelihood of applying concepts to your work	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 7	7	5.00
Expectations met per advertising	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	0.00% 0	85.71% 6	7	4.71

Q3 Rate the methods and materials relative to their value in accomplishing the workshop:

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Excellent	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Clarity of participant handouts	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	42.86% 3	57.14% 4	0.00% 0	7	4.57
Content of participant handouts	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	42.86% 3	57.14% 4	0.00% 0	7	4.57
Pre course readings	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	57.14% 4	28.57% 2	0.00% 0	7	4.14
Exercises/group discussions	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	66.67% 4	16.67% 1	16.67% 1	6	4.20
Clarity of audio-visual aids	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	85.71% 6	0.00% 0	7	4.86
Content of audio-visual aids	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	14.29% 1	85.71% 6	0.00% 0	7	4.86
How valuable was it to have the materials (including pre-reading assignments) available in electronic form?	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	71.43% 5	28.57% 2	7	5.00

Q4 What aspect of the workshop methods/materials was most valuable to you? Why?

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0

#	Responses	Date
1	Being exposed to different tools and resources, aka what other folks are doing.	10/25/2016 11:23 AM
2	Breaking access down to discovery/delivery really gave me great ideas of how to frame these issues with donors, library, admin, etc.	10/25/2016 11:21 AM
3	I think the course covered a wide range of access and discovery tools that could be used at my institution. I liked the flexibility and practicality of the tools and ideas discussed since its useful to have solutions that can work at many levels. Resources for this type of project can sometimes be difficult to obtain, so it is also useful to evaluate the different options available.	9/26/2016 1:17 PM
4	discussion based on handouts	9/26/2016 12:30 PM
5	This was the most useful DAS workshop to date. It sparked a lot of great ideas of ways to better facilitate access to digital records in my collection. It was also reassuring to hear that no one has this completely figured out and made me feel freer to experiment and be more creative in our local approach.	9/25/2016 1:42 PM
6	It helped clarify how decisions made during ingest can impact accessibility.	9/23/2016 9:09 AM
7	Our discussion of access restrictions and methods for handling PII, PHI, and FERPA were extremely valuable as well as the discussion on e-mail management.	9/22/2016 4:04 PM


Q5 What aspect of the workshop methods/materials would you change? Why?

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0

#	Responses	Date
1	n/a	10/25/2016 11:23 AM
2	I would have loved a little more in-depth review of tools for identifying restricted info, but maybe this is covered in another session.	10/25/2016 11:21 AM
3	I think having a case study example that could be worked on in groups would help participants think about how to apply these concepts at their own institutions would help.	9/26/2016 1:17 PM
4	none	9/26/2016 12:30 PM
5	Nothing.	9/25/2016 1:42 PM
6	I think it was fine. I know we as a profession aren't very far regarding accessibility.	9/23/2016 9:09 AM
7	Spend more time on the proper way to redact and secure discoverable materials.	9/22/2016 4:04 PM

Q6 How would you rate the individual instructor?

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



	Poor	Fair	Average	Good	Outstanding	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Knowledge of topic	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 7	0.00% 0	7	5.00
Preparation	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 7	0.00% 0	7	5.00
Ability to handle questions	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 7	0.00% 0	7	5.00
Presentation skills	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	100.00% 7	0.00% 0	7	5.00

Q7 Additional Comments for Instructor:

Answered: 4 Skipped: 3

#	Responses	Date
1	Thank you	10/25/2016 11:23 AM
2	Great job! Thanks	10/25/2016 11:22 AM
3	was able to keep discussion from getting too off course and relate it to items of interest to all	9/26/2016 12:31 PM
4	Well done!	9/23/2016 9:10 AM


Q8 What other workshop topics do you need for your continuing education and where would you like to see them held? (List city and state please).

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0

#	Responses	Date
1	n/a	10/25/2016 11:23 AM
2	n/a	10/25/2016 11:22 AM
3	DAS Workshops in Wisconsin and Illinois.	9/26/2016 1:18 PM
4	anything electronic records related (midwest, ohio, pittsburgh)	9/26/2016 12:31 PM
5	Any other DAS courses, Toledo, OH.	9/25/2016 1:43 PM
6	More technical, computer-y (that's a word, right?) type classes.	9/23/2016 9:11 AM
7	Milwaukee, WI	9/22/2016 4:04 PM

**Q9 How did find out about this workshop?
Please check all that apply:**

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses
Archival Outlook	0.00% 0
In the Loop	57.14% 4
Email Blast	42.86% 3
Listserv Announcement	14.29% 1
Twitter	0.00% 0
Facebook	0.00% 0
SAA Website	57.14% 4
Postcard	0.00% 0
N/A	0.00% 0
Total Respondents: 7	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
	There are no responses.	

Q10 May we use your evaluation and name for testimonials?

Answered: 7 Skipped: 0



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	14.29% 1
No	85.71% 6
Total	7

#	Name (optional)	Date
	There are no responses.	